Regional Plan Update

Milestone #1: Water Quality and Stream Environment Zones

Governing Board and APC Presentation
January 28, 2010
9am -- Today’s Meeting Agenda

9am  Agenda Overview and Introduction: the stakeholder process, the FactSheet and how it’s structured/how to use it, the FactBook and what to expect going forward

9:15  Summarize the eleven major WQ and SEZ issues/give example of a minor issue

9:30  Take clarifying questions and comments from GB and APC – issue by issue, in order

10:30 Public comment

11:55 Board adjournment
Reminder: How Does the GB/APC Process Work?
Today’s Meeting Agenda – Cont’d

12:00  APC discussion (working lunch) – technical advice to GB
12:40  APC synthesis of technical advice
12:55  Board reconvenes
1:00   APC Chair or designee presents technical advice to Board
1:05   Board clarifying questions on APC advice
1:15   Public comment – limited to APC technical advice only
1:30   Board votes to provide direction to staff on the major issues/any minor issue that was raised
9am item (cont’d) -- Introduction

• stakeholder process

• the FactSheet
  • how it’s structured
  • how to use it

• the FactBook and what to expect going forward
## Schedule of Milestones

### RPU Milestones:

By Number, Subject Area, Lead Staff, and GB Hearing Date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Hearing Mo-Yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Water Quality/SEZ</td>
<td>PN</td>
<td>January-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Public Lands, Resource Management, Recreation</td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>February-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Land Use, Air Quality, Stationary GHG</td>
<td>JH</td>
<td>April-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Transportation, Noise, Mobile GHG</td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>May-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Fish &amp; Wildlife, Vegetation, Invasive Species, Soils, Scenic</td>
<td>PN</td>
<td>July-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Water Quality/SEZ</td>
<td>PN</td>
<td>November-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Public Lands, Resource Management, Recreation</td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>December-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Land Use, Air Quality, Stationary GHG</td>
<td>JH</td>
<td>January-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Transportation, Noise, Mobile GHG</td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>March-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Fish &amp; Wildlife, Vegetation, Invasive Species, Soils, Scenic</td>
<td>PN</td>
<td>April-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Code Updates</td>
<td>JW</td>
<td>May-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Threshold Updates</td>
<td>HZ</td>
<td>June-11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9:15 item

Summarize the eleven major WQ and SEZ issues/give example of a minor issue
WQ Issue #1

Should the TRPA defer to the Forest Service’s BMP Guidelines?
WQ Issue #2

How will the updated Regional Plan improve BMP compliance in the Basin?
The Forest Service BMPs should not be evaluated by a separate entity, as they already have their own annual BMP evaluation process.
WQ Issue #4

The TMDL has resulted in an unfunded regulatory mandate for the jurisdictions.
General agreement with the proposal to change the pelagic (deep water) Threshold standard for transparency from a winter mean to an annual average.
WQ Issue #6

Why isn’t staff proposing other Threshold changes to protect Lake Tahoe, specifically in the littoral zone?
Is Secchi transparency the only way to measure the health of the pelagic zone?
Private sector representatives recommended the use of a pro forma economic analysis to inform the allocation- and incentive-based system for land use regulation.
SEZ Issue #1

Establishing TRPA regulatory definitions for permanent SEZ disturbance might affect Lahontan’s and the Forest Service’s regulatory programs and resource management operations.
SEZ Issue #2

Why is staff recommending Alternative 2’s flexible approach to Compensatory Mitigation for New Disturbance in SEZs?
SEZ Issue #3

TRPA’s proposal to create definitions for the different types of compensatory SEZ mitigation may create conflict with the operations of other agencies.
Example of a “Minor Issue”
9:30 item

Take clarifying questions and comments from GB and APC – issue by issue, in order

- Scott Frazier, Stream Environment Zones
- Tim Hagen, Water Quality
- Paul Nielsen, RPU Team Lead
10:30 item

Public comment
11:55 item

Board adjournment
12:00 item

APC discussion (working lunch) – technical advice to GB
12:40 item

APC synthesis of technical advice
12:55 item

Board reconvenes
1:00 item

APC Chair or designee presents technical advice to Board
1:05 item

Board clarifying questions on APC advice
1:15 item

Public comment – limited to APC technical advice only
1:30 item

Board votes to provide direction to staff on the major issues/any minor issue that was raised