

APPENDIX 3

Estimated Remaining Pier Eligibility

Appendix 3									
Remaining Pier Eligibility with Implementation of Alternative 6A									
(Note: The information in this table correlates with the approximate remaining pier eligibility depicted in Figure 2-5.)									
Shore Unit	Character Type	Scenic Attainment Status	Littoral Length (a)	Max. Piers (b)	Existing Piers	Potential New Piers (c)	Eligible Parcels	Allowed New Piers (d)	Remaining Pier Eligibility (e)
1-Tahoe Keys	Naturally dominated	Attainment	1,341	13	0	13	0	0	0
1-Tahoe Keys	Visually sensitive	Attainment	3,813	19	1	18	0	0	0
2-Pope Beach	Naturally dominated	Attainment	3,183	32	0	32	0	0	0
2-Pope Beach	Visually sensitive	Attainment	570	3	5	-2	0	0	0
3-Jameson Beach	Visually dominated	Attainment	988	10	0	10	0	0	0
3-Jameson Beach	Visually modified	Attainment	1,617	16	1	15	0	0	0
3-Jameson Beach	Visually sensitive	Attainment	918	5	10	-5	8	0	0
4-Taylor Creek	Naturally dominated	Attainment	3,788	38	0	38	0	0	0
5-Ebright	Visually modified	Attainment	3,059	31	12	19	11	11	11
5-Ebright	Naturally dominated	Attainment	4,361	44	0	44	0	0	0
6-Emerald Bay	Naturally dominated	Attainment	19,337	193	2	191	0	0	0
7-Bliss State	Naturally dominated	Attainment	15,252	153	0	153	0	0	0
8-Rubicon Point	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	5,322	27	12	15	6	6	3
9-Rubicon Bay	Visually modified	Nonattainment	11,078	111	77	34	52	34	17
10-Meek Bay	Visually modified	Attainment	562	6	3	3	6	3	3
10-Meek Bay	Visually modified	Attainment	3,694	37	5	32	0	0	0
10-Meek Bay	Naturally dominated	Attainment	1,430	14	0	14	0	0	0
10-Meek Bay	Visually sensitive	Attainment	802	4	0	4	0	0	0
11-Sugar Pine Point	Naturally dominated	Attainment	6,930	69	1	68	0	0	0

Appendix 3									
Remaining Pier Eligibility with Implementation of Alternative 6A									
(Note: The information in this table correlates with the approximate remaining pier eligibility depicted in Figure 2-5.)									
Shore Unit	Character Type	Scenic Attainment Status	Littoral Length (a)	Max. Piers (b)	Existing Piers	Potential New Piers (c)	Eligible Parcels	Allowed New Piers (d)	Remaining Pier Eligibility (e)
12–McKinney Bay	Visually dominated	Nonattainment	141	1	1	0	0	0	0
12–McKinney Bay	Visually dominated	Nonattainment	571	6	1	5	0	0	0
12–McKinney Bay	Visually modified	Nonattainment	876	9	1	8	5	5	3
12–McKinney Bay	Visually modified	Nonattainment	6,608	66	25	41	30	30	15
12–McKinney Bay	Visually modified	Nonattainment	10,666	107	65	42	25	25	13
13–Eagle Rock	Visually modified	Attainment	5,666	57	20	37	20	20	20
14–Ward Creek	Visually dominated	Nonattainment	299	3	1	2	0	0	0
14–Ward Creek	Visually modified	Nonattainment	3,236	32	13	19	5	5	3
14–Ward Creek	Visually modified	Nonattainment	2,840	28	19	9	13	9	7
14–Ward Creek	Visually modified	Nonattainment	10,988	110	38	72	27	27	14
14–Ward Creek	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0
15–Tahoe City	Visually dominated	Nonattainment	665	7	1	6	0	0	0
15–Tahoe City	Visually modified	Nonattainment	2,348	23	11	12	3	3	2
15–Tahoe City	Visually modified	Nonattainment	1,649	16	2	14	3	3	2
16–Lake Forest	Visually modified	Nonattainment	4,561	46	20	26	15	15	8
16–Lake Forest	Visually modified	Nonattainment	4,798	48	11	37	35	35	18
16–Lake Forest	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	2,651	13	5	8	9	8	4
17–Dollar Point	Visually modified	Attainment	4,854	49	3	46	1	1	1
18–Cedar Flat	Visually modified	Attainment	10,104	101	75	26	42	26	23
19–Carnelian Bay	Visually dominated	Nonattainment	862	9	1	8	0	0	0
19–Carnelian Bay	Visually modified	Nonattainment	1,356	14	6	8	4	4	2
19–Carnelian Bay	Visually modified	Nonattainment	2,112	21	11	10	10	10	5

Appendix 3 Remaining Pier Eligibility with Implementation of Alternative 6A (Note: The information in this table correlates with the approximate remaining pier eligibility depicted in Figure 2-5.)									
Shore Unit	Character Type	Scenic Attainment Status	Littoral Length (a)	Max. Piers (b)	Existing Piers	Potential New Piers (c)	Eligible Parcels	Allowed New Piers (d)	Remaining Pier Eligibility (e)
20–Flick Point	Visually modified	Attainment	6,779	68	42	26	23	23	23
21–Agate Bay	Visually dominated	Attainment	448	4	2	2	0	0	0
21–Agate Bay	Visually modified	Attainment	649	6	2	4	2	2	2
21–Agate Bay	Visually modified	Attainment	833	8	2	6	4	4	4
21–Agate Bay	Visually modified	Attainment	638	6	2	4	4	4	4
21–Agate Bay	Visually sensitive	Attainment	1,479	7	7	0	1	0	0
21–Agate Bay	Visually sensitive	Attainment	3,329	17	4	13	0	0	0
21–Agate Bay	Visually sensitive	Attainment	4,548	23	11	12	13	12	12
22–Brockway	Visually modified	Nonattainment	7,990	80	19	61	43	43	22
22–Brockway	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	354	2	0	2	0	0	0
22–Brockway	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	1,523	8	0	8	0	0	0
23–Crystal Bay	Visually modified	Nonattainment	3,362	34		34	10	10	5
23–Crystal Bay	Visually modified	Nonattainment	6,366	64	17	47	37	37	19
23–Crystal Bay	Visually modified	Nonattainment	14,735	147	29	118	39	39	20
23–Crystal Bay	Naturally dominated	Nonattainment	878	9	0	9	0	0	0
23–Crystal Bay	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	208	1	1	0	1	0	0
23–Crystal Bay	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	1,983	10	3	7	2	2	0
23–Crystal Bay	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	3,548	18	17	1	10	1	0
24–Sand Harbor	Naturally dominated	Nonattainment	11,395	114	1	113	0	0	0
24–Sand Harbor	Naturally dominated	Nonattainment	8,826	88	0	88	0	0	0
24–Sand Harbor	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	2,905	15	0	15	0	0	0

Appendix 3									
Remaining Pier Eligibility with Implementation of Alternative 6A									
(Note: The information in this table correlates with the approximate remaining pier eligibility depicted in Figure 2-5.)									
Shore Unit	Character Type	Scenic Attainment Status	Littoral Length (a)	Max. Piers (b)	Existing Piers	Potential New Piers (c)	Eligible Parcels	Allowed New Piers (d)	Remaining Pier Eligibility (e)
25-Skunk Harbor	Visually modified	Attainment	17	0	0	0	0	0	0
25-Skunk Harbor	Naturally dominated	Attainment	38,408	384	2	382	0	0	0
26-Cave Rock	Visually dominated	Nonattainment	269	3	0	3	0	0	0
26-Cave Rock	Visually modified	Nonattainment	908	9	4	5	4	4	2
26-Cave Rock	Visually modified	Nonattainment	6,411	64	7	57	13	13	7
26-Cave Rock	Visually modified	Nonattainment	11,031	110	13	97	28	28	15
26-Cave Rock	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	663	3	0	3	0	0	0
26-Cave Rock	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	4,505	23	1	22	0	0	0
26-Cave Rock	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	51	0	0	0	0	0	0
27-Lincoln Park	Visually modified	Nonattainment	11,731	117	35	82	56	56	28
27-Lincoln Park	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	829	4	0	4	2	2	1
28-Tahoe School	Visually dominated	Attainment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28-Tahoe School	Visually modified	Attainment	386	4	3	1	2	1	1
28-Tahoe School	Naturally dominated	Attainment	4,633	46	0	46	0	0	0
29-Zephyr Cove	Visually dominated	Attainment	1,383	14	1	13	0	0	0
29-Zephyr Cove	Visually dominated	Attainment	1,700	17	1	16	0	0	0
29-Zephyr Cove	Visually modified	Attainment	5,256	53	12	41	13	13	13
29-Zephyr Cove	Visually modified	Attainment	1,823	18	6	12	12	12	12
29-Zephyr Cove	Visually sensitive	Attainment	331	2	0	2	0	0	0
29-Zephyr Cove	Visually sensitive	Attainment	3,077	15	3	12	21	12	12
30-Edgewood	Visually modified	Nonattainment	213	2	1	1	1	1	1
30-Edgewood	Visually sensitive	Nonattainment	5,609	28	4	24	0	0	0

Appendix 3										
Remaining Pier Eligibility with Implementation of Alternative 6A										
(Note: The information in this table correlates with the approximate remaining pier eligibility depicted in Figure 2-5.)										
Shore Unit	Character Type	Scenic Attainment Status	Littoral Length (a)	Max. Piers (b)	Existing Piers	Potential New Piers (c)	Eligible Parcels	Allowed New Piers (d)	Remaining Pier Eligibility (e)	
31-Bijou	Visually dominated	Attainment	172	2	0	2	0	0	0	
31-Bijou	Visually dominated	Attainment	471	5	1	4	0	0	0	
31-Bijou	Visually dominated	Attainment	299	3	0	3	0	0	0	
31-Bijou	Visually modified	Attainment	1,036	10	6	4	2	2	2	
31-Bijou	Visually modified	Attainment	1,448	14	0	14	10	10	10	
31-Bijou	Visually sensitive	Attainment	1,035	5	1	4	1	1	1	
31-Bijou	Visually sensitive	Attainment	1,821	9	1	8	11	8	8	
31-Bijou	Visually sensitive	Attainment	3,455	17	2	15	0	0	0	
32-Al Tahoe	Visually modified	Attainment	5,426	54	16	38	16	16	16	
32-Al Tahoe	Naturally dominated	Attainment	388	4	0	4	0	0	0	
33-Truckee Marsh	Naturally dominated	Attainment	2,436	24	0	24	0	0	0	
Total					739		711		636	414
<p>(a) "Littoral Length" represents the length in feet of a given segment of a particular shoreline unit when existing setbacks have been subtracted.</p> <p>(b) "Maximum Piers" represents the total number of piers permitted with the proposed density restrictions of 1 pier per 100 feet in visually modified and visually dominated character types and 1 pier per 200 feet in visually sensitive character types. (This number is rounded.)</p> <p>(c) "Potential New Piers" represents the difference between the maximum number of piers and the number of existing piers.</p> <p>(d) "Allowed New Piers" represents the greater of potential new piers or eligible parcels. (Alternative 6A allows no more than one pier per eligible parcel, regardless of the density calculations for a given segment.)</p> <p>(e) "Remaining Pier Eligibility" represents the total number of piers that that could be added to a given segment of a particular shoreline character type with implementation of the Code amendments proposed in Alternative 6A. In shoreline scenic units that have not attained the Scenic Thresholds, only multiple-use piers (or single-use piers with a corresponding deed restriction) are allowed; this reduces the pier eligibility in these units by half (or more). It is important to note that, regardless of the remaining pier eligibility for each segment, Alternative 6A would allow approval of no more than 230 piers over the 22-year implementation period.</p> <p>Source: TRPA 2006.</p>										